



# PHARMINE

Lisbon final report meeting 25/6/2011

WP6 – Quality Assurance

J.A.G. Morais, FFUL, Portugal

Afonso Cavaco, FFUL, Portugal



1. Aims & Partners
2. Methodology
3. Timing, deadlines
4. Results
5. Future Perspectives



## 1. OVERALL AIMS:

- ***DQPLN1 - To collect data on the status of European Faculties of Pharmacy with respect to***
  - *The existence of a Quality Assurance (management) policy*
  - *The degree of Implementation of a Quality Assurance (management) system*
- ***DPQLN2 - To have a Quality Plan in the PHARMINE consortium***
- ***DPQLN3 - To establish the PHARMINE Quality Assurance network, assisting HEIs wishing to incorporate the PHARMINE curriculum***



## 1. PARTNERS:

- ***P4 (FFUL) coordination of WP<sub>6</sub> in collaboration with***
  - ***WP7***
    - ***Associate partners Vrije University Brussel (P1), University of London (P3), University of Nancy (P2)***
      - ✓ ***Contacts with Lille (France), Athens (Greece), Ankara (Turkey) and Tartu (Estonia)***
    - ***PGEU and ACPE – USA (Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education)***



## **2. METHODOLOGY1:**

- ***Questionnaire developed based on FIP and ACPE docs and incorporated in general survey WP<sub>7</sub>***
- ***Areas covered (items)***
  - ***Presence of a Quality Assurance (QA) system and its model***
    - ***Mission, Planning and Evaluation***
  - ***Organization and administration of HEI***
    - ***Governance and curriculum assessment***
  - ***Students e.g. admission, progression, representation***
  - ***Faculty Staff e.g. CPD***
  - ***Facilities and Resources e.g. premises, finances***
- ***Data handled, harmonised and analysed***



## **2. METHODOLOGY2:**

- *WPs deliverables requested to WPs leaders according to the QA matrix*

## **• METHODOLOGY3:**

- *(unaccomplished)*

## **3. TIMING, DEADLINES:**

- *Start month 6 (March 2009), end month 24 (September 2010)*
  - *Survey preparation WP<sub>7</sub> (6)*
  - *Data collection (9) and data base insertion (12)*
  - *Final report (24)*



## 4. MAIN RESULTS1:

- **Total: 27 countries/replies**
  - **EU: 22 (88%), Non-EU: 5**
- **QAS implemented - EU: 22 (82%), Non-EU: 4**
  - **Combination of internal + external: 68.2%**
- **Most absent QA items (total 33)**
  - **#3: evaluation of mission and goals (30.8%)**
  - **#30: financial resources (37%)**
- **Present for all participants – 8 items**
- **QA score: range min 22 (8%) to max 33 (8%)**



## Table 1. Distribution of an empirical QA indicator

Valid <b>yes</b> responses	QA score		
	# Surveys	Valid frequency %	Cumulative %
22	2	8,0	8,0
23	1	4,0	12,0
24	1	4,0	16,0
26	1	4,0	20,0
27	1	4,0	24,0
28	6	24,0	48,0
29	6	24,0	72,0
30	2	8,0	80,0
31	1	4,0	84,0
32	2	8,0	92,0
33	2	8,0	100,0
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100,0</b>	







- **MAIN RESULTS2:**
  - ***Deliverables (Dec 10)***
    - ***WP1 Management and steering committee***
    - ***WP6 Quality assurance***
    - ***WP7 Databank of EU HEIs delivering pharmacy education and training and task force for the survey of competency curricula***





- ***To keep in mind...***
  - ***The report produced will serve as a base for the establishment of accreditation procedures for EAFP and other organizations***
  - ***This vital activity may constitute one of the major axes of the future development of the PHARMINE consortium and EAFP***
- ***Thank you for your attention***